1	Chelsea Land Use Committee	Item #: 1
2	$A = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}$ 2014	
3 4	April XX, 2014	
5	Hon. Meenakshi Srinivasan, Chair	
6	Board of Standards and Appeals	
7	40 Rector Street New York, NY 10006	
8		
9	Re: BSA No. 49-11-BZ	
10	Block 796, Lot 18	
11	135 West 20 <sup>th</sup> Street	
12	New York, New York	
13		
14	Dear Ms. Srinivasan,	
15		
16	On the recommendation of its Chelsea Land Use Committee	
17	members, and after a duly noticed public hearing at the reg	
18	Manhattan Community Board No. 4 (CB4), by a vote of	
19	and present but not eligible, voted to recommend the gr	
20	permit pursuant to ZR 73-11 and ZR 73-36, with the condi	
21	Bootcamp NYC for a Physical Culture Establishment (PCI	E) at 135 West 20 <sup></sup> Street.
22	In 2011 DSA amounted a superior normality for the experision of	a DCE at this site for a facility with
23 24	In 2011, BSA granted a special permit for the operation of 6,434 sf on the ground floor and in the cellar. Since then the	
24 25	east of the approved facility vacated their storefront. Barry	
26	space (3,111 sf), expanding to a facility with a total of 9,54	1 0
27	women's locker rooms were enlarged and relocated, and a	
28	the ground floor. The additional cellar space allows for an	
29	areas, more bathrooms, a laundry room and reconfigured e	• •
30	seeks to amend the previously granted special permit to leg	
31		
32	Located in a C6-3A district, Barry's Bootcamp is in a six s	tory commercial building which has
33	no residential units. The facility offers one hour classes wi	th 30 minutes on treadmills or
34	stationary bikes and 30 minutes on strength training with fi	
35	Lifting weights overhead and dropping them are not part o	
36	sound tests and added acoustical protection because the so	
37	above the gym. According to the gym manager, there have	been no further complaints since
38	these changes were made.	
39		
40	CB 4 appreciates that Barry's Bootcamp offers an indoor g	U I
41	beverages so that clients don't gather outside. Although no	-
42 43	we recommend approval of the application with the follow	ing conditions:
43 44	• Drogramming will offer not include notarticily dia	muntive activities or will domanstrate
44 45	• Programming will either not include potentially dis conclusively with an acoustical engineering report	-
43 46	disturb other commercial tenants in the building; ar	
Ŧ <b>U</b>	disturb other commercial tenants in the building, at	

- If operation of the facility leads to complaints from commercial tenants above or from the • community, the operators of Barry's Bootcamp will attend meetings set up by the Board and quickly take any steps necessary to correct the problems leading to the complaints. Sincerely, Christine, JLC, Betty

1	Chelsea Land Use Committee	Item #: 2
2 3		
	April XX, 2014	
4		
5	Hon. Robert B Tierney	
6	Chair	
7	Landmarks Preservation Commission	
8	Municipal Building, 9th floor	
9	One Centre Street	<u> </u>
10	New York, NY 10007	
11		
12	<b>Re:</b> Master Plan for Window Replacement	
13	210 Eleventh Avenue	
14	New York, NY 10001	
15		
16	Dear Chair Tierney:	
17		
18	At a regular Board meeting on April 2, 2014 Manhattan C	ommunity Board 4 by a vote of in
19	favor,opposed, andabstaining andpresent but no	ot eligible, voted to recommend, with
20	comments, approval of an application for a window repla	cement master plan for 210 Eleventh
21	Avenue in the West Chelsea Historic District. This vote r	eflects the recommendation of the CB4
22	Chelsea Land Use Committee which voted on this applica	tion on March 17, 2014.
23		
24	The building is an eleven-story Gothic Revival factory bu	ilding originally known as the Zinn
25	Building, constructed 1910-11. It occupies the northwest of	
26	on Eleventh Avenue and 25 <sup>th</sup> Street, and two mid-block ex	posures also having windows.
27		
28	An earlier window replacement master plan proposal was	approved with comments by the Board
29	in 2013, and changes were then requested by the Landman	ks Preservation Commission,
30	prompting this further proposal.	
31		
32	The current submission proposes gradual replacement of e	existing windows with aluminum
33	replacement windows containing insulated glass. The repl	
34	original windows, examples of which remain in some loca	
35	historic photographs. Glass will be divided similarly to or	
36	colored black to match existing windows. Ganged window	vs will operate in a manner
37	approximating that of the original windows, with inward-t	ilting hopper sash replacing original
38	horizontally pivoting lower sash. The Board finds this sim	
39	appearance acceptable.	
40		
41	The proposal includes vertical columns of louvers in exist	ing window locations at two places on
42	the 25 <sup>th</sup> Street façade and at selected other locations. The	
43	distractingly emphatic visual feature on 25 <sup>th</sup> Street and wo	
44	only in the lower half of each of the proposed original wir	
45	in spandrel glass detailed and divided to resemble the buil	
46		

- The proposal also includes replacement of existing single-unit double-hung windows withcasement windows. The Board would prefer to see the replacement windows match the double-
- 49 hung operation of the original windows in these locations, giving them a more historically50 accurate appearance both in open and closed positions.
- The Board would also prefer to have the building's window replacement performed at one timerather than in piecemeal fashion over several years, to avoid a long-term patchwork appearance.
- 55 Sincerely,
- Christine, Lee, Betty

1	Chelse	ea Land Use Committee	Item #: 3
2			
3	April 2	XX, 2014	
4			
5	Kenne	eth Adams	
6	Preside	lent & CEO	
7	Empir	e State Development Corporation	
8	633 Tł	hird Avenue	
9	New Y	York, NY 10017	
10			
11	Re:	Need for a comprehensive plan before the sal	e of air rights from the Farley Post
12		Office for Phase 2 of the Moynihan Station	
13			
14	Dear M	Mr. Adams:	
15			
16	Manha	attan Community Board 4 (CB4) has long been a	strong supporter of the conversion of the
17	Farley	Building into Moynihan Station, but along with	Community Board 5 and our local elected
18	officia	als, we have concerns about the project. In a sepa	rate letter, we have expressed our strong
19	disapp	pointment over the lack of appropriate public parti	icipation in the February 6 issuing of a
20	Reque	est for Proposal (RFP) for a listing agent for Farle	y development rights.
21	1		
22	Beyon	nd this, we believe that the issuing of the RFP was	premature. We recognize that Empire
23	State I	Development (ESD) and its subsidiary, Moynihan	Station Development Corporation
24	(MSD	C), are working with limited resources in a comp	lex environment, but we believe that any
25	decisio	ons regarding Farley development rights must be	made in the context of a comprehensive
26	plan th	hat includes both a development plan and a fundir	ng plan. These plans should be developed
27	collab	oratively in a public process led by ESD that coordinate	rdinates the interest of all public sector
28	stakeh	olders.	
29			
30	Manha	attan Community Boards 4 and 5, and the Moynik	nan Station Community Advisory
31	Comm	nittee - which includes members of the two Comm	nunity Boards, representatives of elected
32	officia	als and representatives from other constituencies v	with direct interests - must be active
33		ipants in the consideration of these issues, the dev	elopment of the plans and in their
34	approv	val through the city's ULURP process.	
35			
36		evelopment Plan should encompass both urban an	
37		ing for and creation of, an appropriate development	
38		and in what amounts rights are transferred. The	
39		urban planning, be broad enough to mitigate pote	-
40		nd must be approved through the city's ULURP p	
41		million square foot Manhattan West project and th	-
42		space Hudson Yards development project immed	
43	It also	must consider the future of Madison Square Gard	den.
44			
45		evelopment Plan also should provide a framewor	
46	multip	ble transportation projects that are being discussed	I for the area, including the Gateway

47 Project, a future Pennsylvania Station that could include MetroNorth, and improvements to the

- 48 Port Authority Bus Terminal.

50 The Funding Plan should include consideration of a wide range of potential funding sources,

51 including appropriate public sector funding from the Federal, State and City governments,

- 52 including Amtrak, as well as the appropriate sale of development rights as determined by the
- 53 Development Plan. We are concerned that the current funding for Moynihan Station, which
- relies heavily on private funding in the form of the sale of development rights, creates an incentive to transfer these rights to sites where they are most valuable instead of where they
- 56 would be most appropriate, as determined by the Development Plan.
- 57
  58 We look forward to working with you hand in hand as this worthy project to build the Moynihan
  59 Station proceeds.
- 61 Sincerely yours,

63 CB, JLC, EM  1 Clinton / Hell's Kitchen Land Use & Chelsea Land Use Committee

- 2 3 April XX, 2014
- 4
- 5 Kenneth Adams
- 6 President & CEO
- 7 Empire State Development
- 8 633 Third Avenue
- 9 New York, NY 10017
- 10
- Re: Need for a comprehensive plan before the sale of air rights from the Farley Post
   Office for Phase 2 of the Moynihan Station
- 13

On February 6, Empire State Development (ESD) issued a Request for Proposal (RFP) for a
 listing agent to determine the value of, and eventually to sell, development rights from the Farley

16 Post Office building to fund Phase 2 of the Moynihan Station project. Recognizing that this RFP

17 does not involve the actual sale of development rights, Manhattan Community Board 4 (CB4) is

18 very concerned that even this preliminary step was taken without prior consultation with the

- community. It is imperative that all community stakeholders be involved in a fully transparentprocess.
- 20 21

The Farley Building has 2.5 million square feet of transferrable development rights, including

one million sq. ft. to be transferred to the northeast across the intersection of Eighth Avenue and
 West 33rd Street under a prior agreement. The designation of receiving sites for these

25 development rights and the process by which decisions regarding them are made are of critical

concern to us. Because the increased density created by this volume of development rights will

affect the community significantly, the community must be involved in identifying and assessing

- 28 potential receiving sites at the earliest possible stage.
- 29

30 As a NY State agency, ESD has the power to impose its will, including, as the RFP makes clear,

the power to override New York City zoning requirements. CB4 has a long history of working

32 productively with state agencies to develop projects that better serve their function within the

33 community and has been a strong supporter of the conversion of Farley into Moynihan Station.

We believe it is in ESD's long term interest to work with CB4 and the community, and in particular to follow the New York City Uniform Land Use Devices Presedure for the transfer of

- particular to follow the New York City Uniform Land Use Review Procedure for the transfer of
   development rights rather than use its powers to override current zoning.
- 37

**38** CB4 formed the Moynihan Station Community Advisory Committee (MSCAC) in 2007, along

39 with representatives of Manhattan Community Board 5 and representatives of our local elected

40 officials. MSCAC worked closely with ESD's Moynihan Station Development Corporation

(MSDC) during the planning of Phase 1. Now, as planning for Phase 2 accelerates, we ask that
 MSCAC be included as an active participant in these discussions. We would have preferred to

42 have been included in the development of the criteria by which a listing agent for the sale of

44 those rights was chosen; we request that the responses to the RFP be made public, and we

45 strongly request that we be included in the identification and assessment of potential receiving

46 sites.

- Sincerely yours,
- 47 48 49
- CB
- 50 51 52 53 JLC/BM/JDN
- CC: Michael Evans (MSDC); Electeds

1	Executive Committee	Item #:7
2		
3	March 27, 2014	
4 5	Mr. Patrick Foye	RATIFICATION
6	Executive Director	KATIFICATION
7	The Port Authority of NY & NJ	
8	225 Park Avenue South, 15 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
9	New York, NY 10003	
10		
11	<b>Re:</b> Port Authority of New York Bus Terminal – Gal	vin Plaza Bus Annex (GPBA)
12	U U	· · · · ·
13	Dear Mr. Foye,	
14	-	
15	Manhattan Community Board 4 (CB4) expresses its strong	support for the Port Authority of New
16	York and New Jersey's application for Federal Transit Adn	ninistration, Public Transportation
17	Emergency Relief Program, Resiliency Projects in Response	•
18	Authority Bus Terminal – Galvin Plaza Bus Annex (GPBA	
19	New York and New Jersey will reduce region's vulnerability	
20	events, while contributing to greater resiliency for the metro	
21	multi-modal transportation network. During events such as	1 · · ·
22	service, buses provide a durable and flexible response for e	
23	the region operational. However, the Port Authority Bus Te	• • •
24 25	during normal operations and cannot handle the increased of Sandy, over 60,000 additional daily passangers sought out of	
25 26	Sandy, over 60,000 additional daily passengers sought out s extremely crowded conditions, and operations that had to b	
27	extremely crowded conditions, and operations that had to b	e relocated onto erty streets.
28	As you know, Superstorm Sandy had a tremendous impact	on New York City and the entire New
29	York/New Jersey metropolitan area. The storm and resultin	-
30	billion in damage, disrupted the transportation network and	6 6
31	infrastructure. In the west side of Manhattan where 30% of	• • • •
32	Jersey, the coordination and communication between all ac	
33	network working together to restore service and continue th	e 1
34	throughout the region, was and is essential to the region's e	conomic viability.
35		
36	The Port Authority's assets were, are, and will continue to l	be critical pieces of the region's
37	transportation network. Millions of people use Port Author	
38	commuter rail to travel between New York and New Jersey	• •
39	Terminal (PABT) is the largest of the ground or rail station	
40	trips: it serves 233,000 passengers each weekday over 50%	
41	between the bus terminal and their Manhattan destinations.	-
42	staging capacity for the PABT, eliminating the tendency of	
43	terminal, thereby congesting it and reducing its capacity. A	•
44 45	facility, will be the additional capacity to accommodate hig	
45 46	without having to travel on city streets, serving the addition without conflicting with cars an truck traffic and putting pe	
τU	without confineting with cars an truck traffic and putting pe	destraits at fisk in the suffounding

- 47 neighborhood this is significant on a daily basis as well, by reducing the queuing of buses on
- 48 city streets in a radius of 10 blocks near the terminal. This development is also the first step
- 49 toward the eventual construction of a multi-story bus garage further enhancing and increasing50 operations and capacity.
- 52 By further ensuring a resilient trans-Hudson transit network, the Galvin Plaza Bus Annex will
- 53 make Manhattan's central business district itself more resilient, providing benefits to the City of
- 54 New York and the entire region. Again, CB4 would like to be on record as supporting it.

56 Thank you,

(Her The

57 Christine Berthet
59 Chair
60

**Transportation Planning Committee** April XX, 2014 Polly Trottenberg Manhattan Borough Commissioner NYC Department of Transportation 59 Maiden Lane, 37th Floor New York, NY 10038 Re: Loading zone – High Line Hotel Dear Commissioner Trottenberg: At its full board meeting, Manhattan Community Board 4 (CB4) voted to approve the installation of signs allowing a 74 foot hotel loading zone in front of the High Line Hotel, and a 100 foot the surrounding neighbors. being created by the operation of the hotel.

16 17 loading and unloading zone, "No Standing Except for Trucks Loading and Unloading 7 AM to 7 18 PM, Except Sunday" on the northern side of Tenth Avenue. This zone would serve as a 19 dedicated solution to moving truck and commercial activity off of the residential side streets 20 (West 20th and West 21<sup>st</sup> Streets) to the more appropriate Tenth Avenue corridor. Both zones are located on the east side of Tenth Avenue between West 20<sup>th</sup> and West 21<sup>st</sup> Streets. 21 22

Item #: 13

The High Line hotel, located in the building formerly known as the Theological Seminary, 23 occupies the whole frontage of the block between West 20<sup>th</sup> and West 21<sup>st</sup> Streets. Its entrance is 24 25 located on the southern portion of the block. The current parking regulation at the curb indicates: 26 "No Standing 7 – 10 AM, except Sunday" and "No Parking 7 AM – 7 PM except Sunday."

27

1

2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

28 For hotels of 100 rooms or less, the Department of Transportation (DOT) does not automatically 29 grant a loading zone and requests the Community Board review and recommendations. In our 30 previous recommendations for smaller hotel loading zones, we have sought to accommodate 31 both the business needs and surrounding parking/traffic needs of the area and residents. Despite 32 previously recommending denial of this application, CB4 now believes the business requires 33 these loading zones and we believe this change in regulation will improve the quality of life for 34

35

36 Although CB4 is recommending approval of this application we are concerned about the actual 37 impact it will have on improving the situation. Therefore, we strongly encourage the High Line 38 Hotel to put extra effort into limiting the loading and unloading that is done for the hotel to Tenth 39 Avenue. Furthermore, we encourage the High Line Hotel to remain in contact with their 40 neighbors and local block associations to continue to remedy the ongoing quality of life issues 41

42

43 As an act of good faith on the part of the hotel, CB4 has requested and the hotel operators have

44 agreed to place a sign in their public garden, indicating that it is open to the public and not

45 reserved for hotel guests. This gesture is important to the neighbors as a sign that the hotel is

46 making an effort towards being a good neighbor.

47 CB4 thus recommends that this application be appr	oved.
--	-------

- 51 52 53 54 55 56 57

- 59 60

- 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69

- 73 74

- 76 77 78 79 80 81 82

84

86

1	Transportation Planning Committee	Item #: 14
2		
3	April XX, 2014	
4		
5	Polly Trottenberg	
6	Manhattan Borough Commissioner	
7	NYC Department of Transportation	
8	59 Maiden Lane, 37th Floor	
9	New York, NY 10038	
10		
11	Re: Parking Signage Request	- 4 42rd Stars of historican Night and Transfe American
12	Down to Earth farmers market w	est 43 <sup>rd</sup> Street between Ninth and Tenth Avenues
13 14	Deer Commissioner Trottenherer	
	Dear Commissioner Trottenberg:	
15 16	Manhattan Community Doord 4(CD4) racu	ests parking regulation changes on the north side of
17		Avenues, to accommodate the Down to Earth farmers
18	market that will begin operating there this y	
19	market that will begin operating there this y	201.
20	The market will provide a valuable resou	rce to the local community, as there are very few
21	sources of fresh produces in this area.	tee to the focal community, as there are very few
22	sources of mesh produces in this area.	
23	We request that the current regulations w	hich read "No Parking 7:30 a.m. – 8 a.m. Except
24	Sunday" and 1 hour parking "8 a.m. – 7 p.m.	e 1
25		
26	The new regulation we request be added sh	ould read "No standing except for farmers market, 7
27	<b>e</b> 1	". It is to be installed on the north curb, starting 15
28		reet and end at the start of the building line of 333
29	West 23 <sup>rd</sup> Street.	-
30		
31	Sincerely,	
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43 44		
44 45		
40		

46 47	Transportation Planning Committee	Item #: 15
48 49	April XX, 2014	
50	Polly Trottenberg	
51	Manhattan Borough Commissioner	
52	NYC Department of Transportation	
53	59 Maiden Lane, 37th Floor	
54	New York, NY 10038	
55		
56	Re: Split Phase signal request in Chelsea	
57	(West 25 <sup>th</sup> , West 29 <sup>th</sup> and Eighth Avenue)	
58		
59	Dear Commissioner Trottenberg:	
60		
61	Manhattan Community Board 4 (CB4) would like to again request	
62	signals on Eighth Avenue at the southwest corners of West 25 <sup>th</sup> and	
63	separate (north/south) pedestrian crossing times. We feel that this f	5
64	reason for the decline in pedestrian and bicycle injuries at other alr	
65	intersections. We note that Eighth Avenue from West 23 <sup>rd</sup> to West	
66	Naturally Occurring Retirement Community (NORC) and is in the	•
67	pedestrian, bicyclist and vehicle accident related injuries in Manha	ttan.
68		
69	These split phase signals were originally requested by CB4 in our of	
70	of the Eighth Avenue enclosed bicycle lane extension to West 30 <sup>th</sup>	
71	again in our comments on the installation of the bike lanes from W	
72	Street. These requests have become more urgent as there have been	
73	reports of postal trucks turning at high speeds at West 29 <sup>th</sup> Street; i	
74 75	petition with over one thousand names requesting the same at Wes	t 25 <sup>th</sup> Street from Penn South
75	residents.	
76 77		
77 70	We hope that DOT will finally comply with our request to install the intersection of Eight Assessment West 25 <sup>th</sup> Streat and West 20 <sup>th</sup> Streat and Streat Astreat As	
78 70	intersections of Eight Avenue and West 25 <sup>th</sup> Street and West 29 <sup>th</sup> S	
79	to pedestrian safety at intersections that are adjacent to a communi-	ty which is especially
80	vulnerable to bicycle and vehicle related accidents.	
81		
82		
83 84	Sincoroly	
85	Sincerely,	
86		
87		
88		
oo 89		
90		
90 91		
31		

92 Transportation Planning Committee

- 93 94 April XX, 2014 95 96 Captain David Miller 97 Manhattan 10th Precinct 98 NYC Police Department 99 230 West 20th Street 100 New York, NY 10011 101 102 Polly Trottenberg 103 Manhattan Borough Commissioner 104 NYC Department of Transportation 59 Maiden Lane, 37<sup>th</sup> Floor 105 106 New York, NY 10038 107 Illegal Truck Route - West 19<sup>th</sup> Street and Eighth Avenue 108 Re: 109 110 Dear Captain Miller and Commissioner Trottenberg: 111 Manhattan Community Board #4 (CB4) requests that both DOT and the NYPD increase their 112 efforts to reduce illegal truck usage of West 15<sup>th</sup> Street through West 22<sup>nd</sup> Street west of Seventh 113
- Avenue. This is in response from complaints of west Chelsea residents over the past few months.
   CB4 also requests the placement of an additional sign on the corner of West 19<sup>th</sup> Street and
- 116 Eighth Avenue facing south, to deter trucks traveling north on Eighth Avenue, from using West
- 117 19<sup>th</sup> Street to travel west.
- 118

119 In 2007 and 2008, both DOT and the NYPD worked with the Community Board to address 120 complaints from several block associations and the Chelsea Council of Block Associations. The 121 complaints involved high volume of truck traffic that led to street noise on these low-rise 122 building streets and higher levels of street deterioration, including busted water pipes on West 123 15<sup>th</sup> Street and frequent street repaying. Based on Block Association and Community Board 124 requests, several "Local Truck Only" and "No Thru Truck" signs were installed and police 125 ticketing increased. Both the block association and residents reported reduced truck usage during 126 that time.

127

In the last couple of years, particularly with the increase of construction activity, residents are reporting a substantial increase in street non-local truck usage and construction trucks using local streets to get to avenues, rather than truck routes (West 14<sup>th</sup> and West 34<sup>th</sup> Streets).

131

We thus request that, similar to 2007 and 2008, DOT and the Police Department work together to
enhance enforcement. We also believe that the placement of the additional sign on Eighth
Avenue will improve the situation on West 19<sup>th</sup> Street.

- 135
- 136 We request that both agencies keep us informed of their efforts over the next months.

137 Sincerely,

139 140 April XX, 2014 141 142 Mayor Bill de Blasio 143 City Hall Park 144 New York, NY 10007 145 146 **Comments on Vision Zero** Re: 147 148 Dear Mayor de Blasio: 149 150 Manhattan Community Board 4 (CB4) is hopeful that Mayor Bill de Blasio's Vision Zero 151

**Transportation Planning Committee** 

initiatian Community Board 4 (CD4) is hoperal that Mayor Bin de Blasio's Vision 2200
initiative will result in drastic improvements of pedestrian safety in our community. We
enthusiastically support the action plan, especially community outreach, inter-agency
collaboration, street design, vehicle control and legislative initiatives. We look forward to
working with the Mayor, the Department of Transportation, and the NYPD on implementing this
initiative. Several of the intersections with the highest pedestrian injuries and deaths in New
York City are in Community District 4 (CD4).

- While CB4 supports the Vision Zero initiative we would like to offer the following comments onthe plan:
- 160 II

138

161 Enforcement remains the most crucial element of preventing accidents from occurring. As 162 pointed out in the Mayor's Vision Zero report, 70 percent of pedestrian fatalities are from causes 163 outside of the pedestrian's control, typically driver speeding or failure to yield. The success of 164 Vision Zero will thus be dependent on the active involvement of NYPD to increase enforcement 165 for vehicle violations such as improper turns, phoning/texting while driving, and speeding. We 166 are pleased the Mayor's initiative includes providing NYPD with an increased number of speed 167 guns. Similarly we are glad the Mayor has proposed increased training for officers on how to 168 investigate and preserve crash site evidence and expanding the Collision Investigation Squad's 169 jurisdiction to include crashes with critical injuries, a position this Community Board endorsed 170 several years ago.

171

172 In addition to the enforcement of vehicular traffic we would like to request that increased 173 enforcement be made on bicyclists. Bike lanes have been installed on many streets in our 174 community and while we support increased bicycle usage we are concerned about bicycle 175 compliance with traffic regulations. We hear complaints from residents on a regular basis that 176 bicyclist pay little attention to traffic laws, and that enforcement is almost nonexistence. We 177 hope that the Vision Zero initiative will place greater emphasis on the enforcement and education 178 of bicycle traffic regulations. We would specifically like to applaud and request Vision Zero 179 incorporate the efforts made by DOT as well as community groups such as Transportation 180 Alternatives, and CHEKPEDS who have taken up the initiative to educate commercial bicyclists 181 on the bicycle traffic regulations.

183 We are pleased to see that more NYPD resources will be allocated to traffic enforcement 184 but NYPD already has a large pool of personnel to reallocate to life saving posts: Certain traffic 185 agents would make terrific Highway patrol officers. The others should be retrained to 186 become crossing guards/ gridlock prevention or enforcement. They could be authorized to give 187 failure to yield tickets, in addition to gridlock and idling tickets that they already are permitted to 188 give. The majority of highway patrol personnel should be deployed on arteries where most of the 189 pedestrians are hurt and less on highways. The name of their unit should be changed accordingly 190 to reflect the new focus.

191

195

196

We were pleased to see opportunities to involve the community in Vision Zero. There are
few easy ways to open and organize the flow of information between the NYPD traffic forces
and the public:

- The precinct safety plans should incorporate public input by consultation with Community board and local associations.
- A high-ranking traffic officer should attend monthly community precincts meetings or community board meetings to hear enforcement complaints and report on action from last month.
- 200

Many members of our communities are a part of (Naturally Occurring Retirement Communities)
 NORCs, and these communities are especially vulnerable to traffic accidents. Since these
 communities require increased efforts to ensure safety, we recommend that Vision Zero
 incorporate the placement of traffic officers on busy intersections around NORC's.

We have found that the installation of dedicated turn signals, or split phase signals are effective in improving pedestrian safety at dangerous intersections. The instillation of a split phase signal at the intersection of West  $23^{rd}$  street and Seventh Avenue has resulted in a 63% reduction in pedestrian injury at this intersection. We hope that the Vision Zero plan will incorporate the expansion of these installations at more intersections across the city.

211

CB4 is happy that a reduction in the speed limit is incorporated into this initiative. However, we
would like to see the speed limit become 20 mph and not the proposed 25 mph.

215 Many of the pedestrian safety improvements that have been implemented in our community 216 have come about as the result of traffic studies. We would like Vision Zero to incorporate 217 funding for more traffic studies, and the timely implementation of the results.

218

CB4 is happy that the mayor has taken up this initiative and we believe this plan will greatly improve the safety of pedestrians in New York City. While the plan is a step in the right direction we believe the incorporation of the above suggestions will further strengthen this initiative and greatly benefit the pedestrians in our community and across New York City. Sincerely,

224

cc: DOT, Councilmember Cory Johnson, Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer, NYPD

1	New B	Business	Item #: 25
2 3 4	April 2	XX, 2014	
5 6 7 8	Street 100 Ge	in D. Burtis t Activity Permit Office Gold Street, 2nd Floor York, NY 10038	
9 10 11	Re:	<b>16<sup>th</sup> Annual Folsom Street Ea</b> June 22, 2014 on West 28 <sup>th</sup> Street	st Fair eet between Tenth Avenue and Eleventh Avenues
12 13 14	Dear N	Mr. Curtis:	
15 16 17 18	located	ed on West 27th Street between T t East unless the following stipula	mends denial of the renewal application for a street fair Yenth and Eleventh Avenue, to be operated by Folsom tions are agreed to by the operators:
19 20	•	Hours of operation are 11 a.m. finished by 6:00 p.m;	to 4 p.m. with set up starting at 9 a.m. and clean-up being
21 22 23	•	Avenues to alert them to the e	and businesses on 27 <sup>th</sup> Street between Tenth and Eleventh vent, its ticket policy, and to give contact information for businesses have questions or concerns;
24 25	•	Work with the Police Departme access for residents and busines	ent to procure barricades to line the sidewalks to give easy sees to get on and off the block;
26 27 28	•		formance space be angled in such a way so that a physical partment building located at 537 West 27 <sup>th</sup> Street is not
29 30	•	Provide adequate security to information regarding the event	manage the expected large crowds and to provide to any interested parties;
31 32 33	•	admittance to and from their re	usiness personnel of the block have no issues with gaining esidences and businesses on the day of the event (and that el welcome to traverse the block using the sidewalks);
34 35 36 37	•	sound will be monitored and w	e permitted for a two hour window during the event. Such vill not be unduly loud. Residents and businesses will be operators if they wish to reach out with any concerns on
38 39 40 41 42 43	Sincer	erely,	